

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,520	09/12/2000	Jeffry Jovan Philyaw	PHLY-25.355	6222
25883 75	90 05/27/2004		EXAMINER	
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P			NGUYEN, PHUOC H	
P.O. BOX 741715 DALLAS, TX 75374-1715			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.,			2143	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	/ 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	All			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/659,520	PHILYAW, JEFFR	PHILYAW, JEFFRY JOVAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143				
The MAILING Period for Reply	3 DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may lafter SIX (6) MONTHS fit - If the period for reply spe - If NO period for reply is to reply within the Any reply received by the	FE OF THIS COMMUNICATI be available under the provisions of 37 C rom the mailing date of this communicati ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, specified above, the maximum statutory p e set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive t	to communication(s) filed on	12 March 2004.					
•	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
closed in acc	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	;						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the ab	ove claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u>	§ is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)□ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	* *				
•		correction is required if the drawing					
11) The oath or d	eclaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.	•						
a)	Some * c)□ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	ed copies of the priority docu						
		ments have been received in		04			
·	•	e priority documents have bee	en received in this ivational	Staye			
• • •	ation from the International E	a list of the certified copies no	nt received				
See the attack	ieu detalleu Office action for	a not of the certified copies in	ot received.				
Attachment(s)							

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on March 12, 2004 (Paper No.
- 9). Previous office action contained claims 1-26. Applicant amended claims 1 and 14.

 Amendment filed on March 12, 2004 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, pending claims 1-26 are presented for further consideration and examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments with respect to currently amended claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the ground(s) rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckley et al. U.S. Patent 6,446,871 in view of Harris et al. U.S. Patent 6,331,972.
- 5. Referring to claims 1, and 14, Buckley reference disclose providing a triggering device having a unique code associated therewith, the unique code associated with a remote location (Fig. 5, portal server (208)) on a network (Fig. 5, internet (106)) of the source of the web page

Art Unit: 2143

(Fig. 5, content provider (214, or 216)); transmitting the unique code from the triggering device to an interface system (Fig. 5, ASCII string (200) from scanning device to client pc port (202)), the interface system disposed on the network at a triggering location (Fig. 5, from client pc (104) to internet (106)); retrieving location information associated with the unique code from a database, the location information corresponding to the location of the web page at the remote location on the network (Fig. 9, col. 11, lines 18-26 (map barcode with dB supported by content provider (214)); in response to retrieving the location information, connecting the interface system to the remote location, and presenting the web page corresponding to the location information of the remote location to the user via the interface system (col. 11, lines 6-26); however, Buckley reference fail to teach the triggering device having a unique code that uniquely identifies the triggering device.

Harris reference disclose a device having a unique code that uniquely identifies the device (Abstract; col. 17, lines 18-23; and col. 28, lines 30-38).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Harris's teaching into Buckley's method to use the unique code to uniquely identifies the device, so the triggering device can utilized the identification in combination with generated routing information for request and retrieval of information for presentation to the user related to the scanned optical code.

6. Referring to claims 2, and 15, Buckley reference disclose the triggering device in the step of providing is a portable wireless transponder (Fig. 5, infrared or radio frequency port (202), col. 5, lines 49-55; and col. 7, lines 20-35).

Art Unit: 2143

- 7. Referring to claims 3, and 16, Buckley reference disclose the transponder has the unique code stored therein in a non-volatile memory (col. 6, lines 14-15; and col. 6, lines 62-64).
- 8. Referring to claims 4, and 17, Buckley reference disclose the unique code in the step of providing is uniquely associated with the web page (col. 8, lines 60 through col. 9, lines 7).
- 9. Referring to claims 5, and 18, Buckley reference disclose the interface system in the step of transmitting comprises a receiver operatively connected to a computer, the receiver for receiving a triggering signal having the unique code contained therein (Fig. 5, serial/IR/USB (202)).
- 10. Referring to claims 6, and 19, Buckley reference disclose the user manually enables the triggering device to transmit the unique code in the step of triggering (col. 9, lines 65 through col. 10, lines 6).
- 11. Referring to claims 7, and 20, Buckley reference disclose the step of retrieving location information further comprises the step of matching the unique code with the location information of the database (col. 10, lines 32-39).
- 12. Referring to claims 8, and 21, Buckley reference disclose the database in the step of retrieving is local to the interface system (Figures 4, and 5).
- Referring to claims 9, and 22, Buckley reference disclose the database in the step of retrieving is located at an intermediary location on the network (col. 10, lines 31-35; Fig. 4, content databases 114,116, and 118)
- 14. Referring to claims 10, and 23, Buckley reference disclose the step of retrieving location information from the intermediary location further comprises the step of appending to the unique code routing information which defines the location of the intermediary location on the network

Art Unit: 2143

such that: the unique code is transmitted to the intermediary location in accordance with the appended routing information (col. 10, lines 32-39).

- 15. Referring to claims 11, and 24, Buckley reference disclose the step of connecting is performed using a browser program (col. 10, lines 8-12).
- 16. Referring to claims 12, and 25, Buckley reference disclose the steps of retrieving, connecting and displaying are performed automatically in response to the step of transmitting (Fig. 4; col. 10, lines 32-39).
- 17. Referring to claims 13, and 26, Buckley reference disclose the step of presenting comprises displaying the web page to the user via a display operatively connected to the interface system (Fig. 9; col. 11, lines 6-26).

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2143

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

O'Donnell, Jr. U.S. Patent 6,686,910

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuoc H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Thu (7AM-4:30PM) and off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703-308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuoc H. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2143

Page 7

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100